


PCT
JC04 Rec'd PCT/PTO 19 OCT 2005

PATENT



10/14/05 290.1078USN

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

re application of
Sami Vaarala, Antti Nuopponen

Art Unit.

Confirmation No.

Serial No. 10/500,930

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Filed: 8 July 2004

For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR
SENDING A MESSAGE
THROUGH A SECURE
CONNECTION

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PAPER AND THE DOCUMENTS
REFERRED TO AS BEING ATTACHED OR ENCLOSED HERewith
ARE BEING DEPOSITED WITH THE UNITED STATES POSTAL
SERVICE ON October 14, 2005 AS FIRST CLASS MAIL IN
AN ENVELOPE ADDRESSED TO: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450.

Examiner:

Date: 14 October 2005

Rolf Fasth
Rolf Fasth
Attorney for Applicant

TRANSMITTAL

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Enclosed for filing in the above-referenced application are the
following:

- (X) Copy of Decision On Petition dated 15 September 2005
- (X) Signed Oath or Declaration of the Inventors
- (X) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any
additional fees which may be required in connection with
the filing of this correspondence, or credit over-payment,
to Account No. 06-0243.

Respectfully submitted,
FASTH LAW OFFICES

Rolf Fasth
Rolf Fasth
Registration No. 36,999

FASTH LAW OFFICES
26 Pinecrest Plaza, Suite 2
Southern Pines, NC 28387-4301
Telephone: 910-687-0001
Facsimile: 910-295-2152

RECEIVED
28 NOV 2005
Legal Staff
International Division

BEST AVAILABLE COPY



15 SEP 2005

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
P.O. Box 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

290.1078 USN

PALETTASH
FASTH LAW OFFICES
629 E. BOCA RATON
PHOENIX, AZ 85022

← ~~HAS BEEN~~ CHANGED.

In re Application of VAARALA et al
Application No.: 10/500,930
PCT Application No.: PCT/FI03/00045
Int. Filing Date: 21 January 2003
Priority Date Claimed: 22 January 2002
Attorney Docket No.: 290.1078USN
For: METHOD AND SYSTEM FOR SENDING A
MESSAGE THROUGH A SECURE CONNECTION

DECISION ON PETITION
UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on applicants' Petition For Revival Under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed in the United States Patent and Trademark Office (PTO) on 13 June 2005.

BACKGROUND

On 21 January 2003, applicants filed international application PCT/FI03/00045. The international application claims a priority date of 22 January 2002 and designates the United States. A copy of the international application was communicated from the International Bureau to the United States Patent and Trademark Office on 31 July 2003. The deadline for paying the basic national fee in the United States was thirty months from the priority date, that is 22 July 2004.

On 8 July 2004, applicants filed a transmittal letter for entry into the national stage in the United States which was accompanied by, *inter alia*, the U.S. Basic National Fee as required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(1), a copy of the international application, and an unexecuted declaration of the inventors.

On 13 December 2004, a Notification of Missing Requirements Under 35 U.S.C. 371 (Form PCT/DO/EO/905) was mailed to applicants, requiring the submission of an executed oath or declaration of the inventors and a surcharge under 37 CFR 1.492(e). This Notification set a two (2) month period for reply, with extensions of time obtainable under 37 CFR 1.136(a).

On 13 June 2005, applicants filed the instant petition for revival accompanied by, *inter alia*, the petition fee of \$750, an executed declaration as required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4), and the surcharge of \$65 for the late declaration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

DISCUSSION

Applicants' response filed 13 June 2005 was within the time limits set by the Notification of Missing Requirements, which provided for a two (2) month period for reply, with extensions of time available. Applicants' response was filed in the fourth month, so a four month extension fee of \$795 is required. This fee has been charged to Deposit Account No. 06-0243 as authorized. Applicants' response included an executed declaration as required by 35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4) and the surcharge of \$65 for the late declaration. As such, applicants' reply is timely and the application is not abandoned. Therefore, the petition to revive is moot and the \$750 fee has been credited back to counsel's Deposit Account.

The declaration filed 13 June 2005 is defective because it does not include the entire declaration signed by each inventor. See MPEP 201.03, which states:


While each inventor need not execute the same oath or declaration, each oath or declaration executed by an inventor must contain a complete listing of all inventors so as to clearly indicate what each inventor believes to be the appropriate inventive entity. Where individual declarations are executed, they must be submitted as individual declarations rather than combined into one declaration.


Thus, applicants' declaration is defective because it is a combined declaration consisting of two individually executed declarations. The declarations must include all of the pages of the declaration signed by each of the inventors.

CONCLUSION

The petition to revive the application abandoned under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is DISMISSED as MOOT.

Applicant is now required to submit a substitute declaration or oath to correct the deficiencies set forth above. Applicant is given **ONE (1) MONTH** from the mailing date of this notice, within which to supply the substitute declaration or oath in order to avoid abandonment. Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136.


Amy Vanatta
PCT Legal Administration Detailee
Telephone: 571-272-6094
Facsimile: 571-273-0419


Boris Milef
PCT Legal Examiner
Office of PCT Legal Administration